

THIERMAN BUCK LLP

LEAH L. JONES, Nev. Bar No. 13161
leah@thiermanbuck.com
7287 Lakeside Drive
Reno, Nevada 89511
Telephone: 775-284-1500
Facsimile: 775-703-5027

FAIRMARK PARTNERS, LLP

JAMIE CROOKS, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)
jamie@fairmarklaw.com
RUCHA DESAI, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)
rdesai@fairmarklaw.com
1825 7th Street NW, #821
Washington, DC 20001

TOWARDS JUSTICE

DAVID H. SELIGMAN, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)
david@towardsjustice.org
ALEXANDER HOOD, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)
alex@towardsjustice.org
PO Box 371680, PMB 44465
Denver, Colorado 80237

SALAH PC

YAMAN SALAHI, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)
yaman@salahilaw.com
505 Montgomery Street, 11th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Tel: (415) 236-2352

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the proposed Class

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP

Rebecca Hause-Schultz, *Pro Hac Vice*
E-Mail: rhause-schultz@fisherphillips.com
Dennis Cuneo, *Pro Hac Vice*
E-Mail: dcueno@fisherphillips.com
David B. Witkin, *Pro Hac Vice*
E-Mail: dwitkin@fisherphillips.com
621 Capitol Mall, Suite 1400
Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 210-0400
Facsimile: (916) 210-0401

LEWIS BRISBOIS

Ellen Jean Winograd (Nev. Bar No. 815)
5555 Kietzke Lane, Suite 200
E-Mail: ellen.winograd@lewisbrisbois.com
Telephone: (775) 399-6377
Facsimile: (775) 827-9256

Attorneys for Defendant
WESTERN RANGE ASSOCIATION

[Additional Counsel on Next Page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CIRILO UCHARIMA ALVARADO, on behalf
of himself and all others similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

v.

WESTERN RANGE ASSOCIATION, a
California non-profit corporation; **ELLISON
RANCHING COMPANY**, a Nevada
corporation; **JOHN ESPIL SHEEP CO., INC.**, a
Nevada corporation; **F.I.M. CORP.**, a Nevada
corporation; **THE LITTLE PARIS SHEEP
COMPANY, LLC**, a Nevada limited liability
company; **BORDA LAND & SHEEP**

Case No. 3:22-cv-00249-MMD-CLB

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT
STIPULATION RE PAGE LIMITS
FOR PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION
TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO
DISMISS**

COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; **HOLLAND RANCH, LLC**, a Nevada limited liability company; **NEED MORE SHEEP CO., LLC**, a Nevada limited liability company; and **FAULKNER LAND AND LIVESTOCK COMPANY, INC.**, an Idaho corporation,

Defendants.

FABIAN VANCOTT
Scott M. Petersen
Email: spetersen@fabianvancott.com
Tanner J. Bean
Email: tbean@fabianvancott.com
Kirsten R. Allen
Email: kallen@fabianvancott.com
95 S. State Street, Ste. 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Bryan Dixon
Email: bdixon@fabianvancott.com
411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 400
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Defendant Ellison Ranching Co.

JERRY SNYDER LAW
Jerry M. Snyder
Email: nevadajerrysnyder@gmail.com
429 Plumb Ln.
Reno, NV 89509

Attorneys for Defendants F.I.M. Corp., Need More Sheep Co., LLC, and Faulkner Land & Livestock Co.

HOLLAND & HART LLP
Joshua M. Halen
Email: jmhalen@hollandhart.com
5470 Kietzke Lane, Suite 100
Reno, NV 89511

Cory A. Talbot, *Pro Hac Vice*
catalbot@hollandhart.com
April M. Medley, *Pro Hac Vice*
ammedley@hollandhart.com
222 S. Main Street, Suite 2200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Attorneys for Defendant The Little Paris Sheep Co., LLC

SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
Anthony L. Hall, Esq.
Email: ahall@shjnevada.com
Duncan G. Burke, Esq.
Email: dburke@shjnevada.com
690 Sierra Rose Dr.
Reno, NV 89511

Attorneys for Defendants John Espil Sheep Co., Inc., Borda Land & Sheep Co., LLC, and Holland Ranch, LLC

1 Plaintiff Cirilo Ucharima Alvarado (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Western Range
2 Association, Ellison Ranching Co., John Espil Sheep Co., Inc., Borda Land & Sheep Co., LLC,
3 Holland Ranch, LLC, F.I.M. Corp., Need More Sheep Co., LLC, Faulkner Land & Livestock
4 Co., and The Little Paris Sheep Co., LLC, (collectively, “Defendants”), by and through their
5 respective counsel, hereby stipulate regarding the page limits to apply to Plaintiff’s opposition
6 to Defendants’ motions to dismiss Plaintiff’s Third Amended Complaint, pursuant to Local
7 Rules IA 6-2, LR 7-1, and LR 7-3.

8 1. Plaintiff filed his Third Amended Complaint (TAC) on January 14, 2025. (ECF
9 No. 254).

10 2. Defendants filed five motions to dismiss the TAC on February 11, 2025. (ECF
11 Nos. 262, 263, 264, 265, 266.)

12 3. On January 22, 2025, the Court rejected the Parties’ stipulation to provide
13 Plaintiff with the same number of pages that Defendants would have been entitled to under the
14 local rules, or up to 120 pages, as unreasonable. (ECF No. 260.) However, the Court
15 “encourage[d] Plaintiff to file a consolidated response.” (*Id.*)

16 4. Defendants’ motions to dismiss include 102 pages of briefing and were filed with
17 41 attached exhibits. The local rules permit Plaintiff to file a separate brief in response to each
18 motion, up to 24 pages each, for a total of 120 pages. LR 7-3(b). To avoid repetition and to help
19 streamline the issues, however, Plaintiff prefers to file a single consolidated brief. To adequately
20 address Defendants’ arguments, Plaintiff needs more than the 24 pages allowed under the local
21 rules for a single brief. Moreover, fairness calls for parity between the parties. Nevertheless,
22 Plaintiff believes that a single consolidated brief of up to 50 pages will provide sufficient room
23 to engage with Defendants’ arguments. Plaintiff will attempt to file a shorter brief if possible.
24 (Indeed, Plaintiff’s consolidated opposition brief responding to Defendants’ five motions to
25 dismiss the second amended complaint was only 41 pages long, *see* ECF No. 243, although the
26 Court had authorized him to file a brief up to 120 pages in length, *see* ECF No. 228. The new
27 motions raise new arguments and include more exhibits than the last round, however.)

5. Plaintiff and Defendants have met and conferred, and Defendants do not oppose Plaintiff's request to file a single consolidated brief of up to 50 pages.

6. This Stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

7. For all of the aforementioned reasons, the Parties stipulate and request the Court allow Plaintiff to file a single consolidated brief up to 50 pages in opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint.

Dated: March 5, 2025

SALAHİ PC

/s/ Yaman Salahi

YAMAN SALAHİ, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)

yaman@salahilaw.com

505 Montgomery Street, 11th Floor

San Francisco, California 94111

Tel: (415) 236-2352

FAIRMARK PARTNERS, LLP

JAMIE CROOKS, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)

jamie@fairmarklaw.com

1825 7th Street NW, #821

Washington, DC 20001

TOWARDS JUSTICE

DAVID SELIGMAN, ESQ. (*Pro Hac Vice*)

david@towardsjustice.org

PO Box 371680, PMB 44465

Denver, Colorado 80237

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

Dated: March 5, 2025

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP

/s/ David B. Witkin

Rebecca Hause-Schultz, *Pro Hac Vice*

E-Mail: rhause-schultz@fisherphillips.com

Dennis Cuneo, *Pro Hac Vice*

E-Mail: dcueno@fisherphillips.com

David B. Witkin, *Pro Hac Vice*

E-Mail: dwitkin@fisherphillips.com

621 Capitol Mall, Suite 1400
Sacramento, California 95714
Telephone: (916) 210-0400
Facsimile: (916) 210-0401

LEWIS BRISBOIS

Ellen Jean Winograd (Nev. Bar No. 815)
5555 Kietzke Lane, Suite 200
E-Mail: ellen.winograd@lewisbrisbois.com
Telephone: (775) 3099-6377
Facsimile: (775) 827-9256

*Attorneys for Defendant Western Range
Association*

FABIAN VANCOTT

/s/ Tanner J. Bean

Scott M. Petersen
E-Mail: spetersen@fabianvancott.com
Tanner J. Bean
E-Mail: tbean@fabianvancott.com
Kirsten R. Allen
E-Mail: kallen@fabianvancott.com
Bryan Dixon
E-Mail: bdixon@fabianvancott.com

Attorneys for Defendant Ellison Ranching Co.

JERRY SNYDER LAW

/s/ Jerry M. Snyder

Jerry M. Snyder
E-Mail: nevadajerrysnyder@gmail.com

*Attorneys for Defendants F.I.M. Corp., Need
More Sheep Co., LLC, and Faulkner Land &
Livestock Co.*

SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC

/s/ Duncan G. Burke

Anthony L. Hall, Esq.
E-Mail: ahall@shjnevada.com
Duncan G. Burke, Esq.
E-Mail: dburke@shjnevada.com
690 Sierra Rose Dr.

Reno, Nevada 89519
Telephone: (775) 785-0088

*Attorneys for Defendants John Espil Sheep Co.,
Inc., Borda Land & Sheep Co., LLC, and
Holland Ranch, LLC*
HOLLAND & HART LLP

/s/ Cory A. Talbot
Joshua M. Halen
E-Mail: jmhalen@hollandhart.com
Cory A. Talbot, *Pro Hac Vice*
catalbot@hollandhart.com
April M. Medley, *Pro Hac Vice*
ammedley@hollandhart.com

*Attorneys for Defendant The Little Paris Sheep
Co., LLC*

ORDER

Based on the stipulation of the parties, the Court finds good cause to allow Plaintiff to file a single consolidated opposition brief to Defendants' motions to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint, not to exceed 50 pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 5, 2025



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE MIRANDA M. DU